

FAX TRANSMITTAL Lee Hinman 234 Twin Lakes Dr. Panama City Beach, FL 32413 Voice 850-230-5601 FAX Call for # & it will be turned on Web: www.247eQuotes.com Email: LeeM.hinman@247eQuotes.com	RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER JUL 2 - 2007
---	---

To: Examiner: James H. Zurita
Group Art Unit: 3625
Commissioner for Patents
Company:
Phone: 571-272-6766
Fax: 571-273-8300

From: Lee Hinman
Phone: 850-230-5601
Fax: See above

Date: 7/2/2007

Pages including this cover

page: 15

Reference: Control Number: 10/812,998

See attached : ADMENDMENT and Status of Claims 1-25 10 sheets

File# 2007-07-02-FAXCoverSheet.doc

Lee Melvin Hinman

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTERIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE JUL 2 - 2007
PATENT OPERATIONS

In re Patent Application of
Lee Melvin Hinman
Control Number: 10/812,998

) Examiner: James H. Zurita
) Group Art Unit: 3625
)

For: System and Method for a Made to Specification e-commerce Quoting and Orders
Processing System on a Stand Alone or Integrated Portal

I hereby certify that this correspondence was transmitted via fax phone number (571) 273-8300, to Group Art Unit 3625, to the attention of the Commissioner for Patents, Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 2nd day of July, 2007.

Lee Melvin Hinman
Lee Melvin Hinman

ADMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) communication mailed 4-2-2007, and Identified as a Non-Final Rejection, please replace the correspondence sent on June 18th, 2007 (as I now have guidance from William B. Noll a local patent attorney) with this correspondence and please amend the application as follows:

In the Claims-

Cancel claims 1-12, and substitute therefore new claims 14-25
on the attached 4 sheets presenting the status of claims 1-25, said
sheets forming a part of this amendment.

Added claim 13

A summary description of the patent flow

REMARKS

1. With regard to the objection of the "Claims 1-12, now claims 14-25 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph" by having single sentences for each claim." have now been rewritten to comply.
2. With regard to the objection of the Claims 1-3 now claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6167383 (**Henson**). Search for E-commerce only finds a reference in the footnote of "http://www.dell.com/us/en/gen/corporate/press/pressoffice.sub.13 us.sub.-- 1998-05-21-rr-000.htm, "Dell relaunched **E-Commerce** Site with New Features", May 21, 1998. "
3. Claim 13 has been added at the beginning to summarize the flow/sequence process.